Telecommunications
E Commerce
Technology
Corporate & Finance
Trademarks
Propnetary Rights
Complex Litigation
General Business Law

The Helein Law Group, LLC

8180 Greensboro Drive Suite 700 McLean, VA 22102

(703) 714-1300 (Telephone) (703) 714-1330 (Facsimile) mail@thlglaw.com

Writer's Direct Dial Number

RECEIVED 2004 APR -8 AN 9: 26 T.R.A. DOCKET ROOM

Writer's E-mail Address

(703) 714-1321

lwh@thlglaw com

Aprıl 7, 2004

VIA OVERNIGHT MAIL

Sharla Dillon Tennessee Regulatory Authority 460 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, TN 37243-0505

Re: Docket No. 04-00062

Dear Mrs. Dillon:

On behalf of National A-1 Advertising, Inc. ("National"), transmitted herewith are thirteen (13) copies of the response to Bell South's March 29, 2004 letter to you in the above-captioned docket. An original was sent on April 2, 2004. We apologize for the unintentional oversight.

Should there be any questions regarding this filing, kindly contact the undersigned

Respectfully submitted,

Loubna W. Haddad Regulatory Counsel

Enclosures

The Helein Law Group, LLC

Telecommunications
E Commerce
Technology
Corporate & Finance
Trademarks
Proprietary Rights
Complex Litigation
General Business Law

8180 Greensboro Drive Suite 700 McLean, VA 22102

(703) 714-1300 (Telephone) (703) 714-1330 (Facsimile) mail@thlglaw.com

Writer's Direct Dial Number

Writer's E-mail Address

(703) 714-1301

chh@thlglaw com

April 2, 2004

VIA OVERNIGHT MAIL

Hon. Deborah Taylor Tate, Chairman Tennessee Regulatory Authority 460 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, TN 37238

Re: Docket No. 04-00062

Dear Chairman Tate:

On behalf of National A-1 Advertising, Inc. ("National"), we write in response to Bell South's March 29, 2004 letter to you in the above-captioned docket.

National disagrees with Bell South's representation of the settlement agreement between National and Bell South. The agreement speaks for itself. Therefore, to avoid prolonged quibbling over this point, we attach a copy of the settlement agreement for the Authority's review and consideration. It bears mention, however, that Bell South has failed to satisfy even its own interpretation of its obligations. Bell South admits in its letter that it agreed to "work in good faith to explore mutually-satisfactory alternative service arrangements." It has failed to do this. Bell South's stated position is that it will not provide National with 811 absent the blessing of the Authority and that it is prepared to take 211 away from National in mid-April.* On the other hand, Bell South has presented absolutely no alternative service arrangements for National's consideration.

The reality is that Bell South is an indirect party to this proceeding because it is Bell South's actions that have given rise to the proceeding in the first place. It is

^{*} It is submitted and Bell South has been so advised that its proposed action is in violation of its duties under Sections 201, 202 and 214 of the Communications Act.

National's understanding based on information provided by Authority Staff that it is only by virtue of Bell South's tariff that "requires" the Authority to approve use of abbreviated dialing codes that this matter is before the Authority.

If Bell South wanted to cooperate, this matter could have been easily resolved Therefore, Bell South cannot remove itself from the scope of this proceeding, or avoid responsibility for the ultimate result.

espectfully,

Charles H. Helein

cc: Guy M. Hicks

BellSouth Corporation Legal Department 675 West Peachtree Street Suite 4300 Atlanta, GA 30375-0001

Ellenberg.William@bsi.bls.com

July 29, 2002

William J. Ellenberg Senior Corporate Counsel

404 335 0731 Fex 404 614 4054

Mr. Charles H. Helein The Helein Law Group, P C. 8180 Greensboro Drive Suite 700 McLean, VA 22102

Dear Mr. Helein:

This letter will confirm our agreement resolving claims asserted by National A-1 Advertising, Inc. and 811 Direct, Inc. ("Complainants") against BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. ("BellSouth") in case No. EB-02-TC-F-003 currently pending before the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC").

BellSouth agrees that it will not delete its intrastate N11 service tariff for commercial users found in Section A139 of the General Subscriber Services Tariff in Alabama, Georgia, Kentucky, Florida, and Louisiana for reasons other than the recall of N11 numbers by the FCC or the request for an N11 number by an entity that has been granted priority to use that number under relevant FCC order or any other order from the FCC or state regulatory authority without providing Complainants with not less than twelve (12) months. In Tennessee, service under the A139 tariff is scheduled to disconnected for all users no later than January 1, 2003. BellSouth agrees to take reasonable steps to extend the effective date of the disconnection of the service subject to the approval of the Tennessee Regulatory Authority ("TRA") so that the arrangement described in the preceding sentence will apply.

Complainants agree to dismiss case No EB-02-TC-F-003 without prejudice.

The parties agree to continue to work in good faith to explore mutually satisfactory alternative service arrangements that Complainants could use in the event currently used N11 numbers are recalled for non-commercial use or N11 service should become unavailable in the future.

Of course, by entering into this agreement, BellSouth does not admit any liability or obligation to Complainants arising out of any matter asserted in their complaint, and in fact expressly denies any liability or obligation.

be

Mr. Charles II. Helein July 29, 2002 Page 2

In these terms accurately reflect our agreement, please sign the enclosed copy of this letter and return it to me. Thank you for your courtesy and cooperation in the matter.

Sincarely

William J. Ellenberg

Agreed to:

*Julijest to

Charles H. Helein

Counsel for National A-1 Advertising, Inc. and

811 Direct, Inc.

GM) US